

Ruby Bridges and her “fake” friends!

Some web resources to create a “fake” profile

- <http://www.classtools.net/FB/home-page>

Some web resources about men and women who fought for integration and civil rights

- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Luther_King,_Jr.
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rosa_Parks
- <http://history1900s.about.com/od/people/a/gandhi.htm>
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iqbal_Masih
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malala_Yousafzai

An example of a “fake” profile of Ruby Bridges in communication with some “fake” friends of her

- <http://www.classtools.net/FB/1091-QY2QY6>

	EXCELLENT(10)	GOOD (9-8)	FAIR (7-6)	POOR (5)
CONTENT	All the parts of the project are included with correct descriptions and precise facts. The assignment is perfectly understood.	Something in the project and description is missing. The assignment lacks minor understanding.	Some important facts are missing. The assignment has been partially understood.	Many/all facts are missing and descriptions are not sufficiently given. The assignment has not been correctly understood.
Written ORGANISATION	The project organisation is clear and the text is well written, easy to understand.	The project organisation is clear on the whole, although there are little errors in the text.	The project organisation is sufficiently clear, but several errors make sometimes difficult to understand the text.	The project is unclear and is hard to understand.
Oral PRESENTATION	Students speak fluently and in a clear way.	Students speak clearly with few mistakes.	Students speak sufficiently clearly, although with several mistakes.	Students speak with many mistakes, making the communication hard to understand.
Group work	All group members participated equally.	All the group members participated but some of them with more value.	All the group members participated, although with strong differences in value.	Only a minority of students participated actively.
CREATIVITY	The project shows excellent creativity and originality.	The project shows many aspects of creativity.	The project shows some aspects of creativity.	The project shows no creativity on the whole.

	EXCELLENT (10)	GOOD (9-8)	FAIR (7-6)	POOR (5)
CONTENT	All the parts of the project are included with correct descriptions and precise facts. The assignment is perfectly understood.	Something in the project and description is missing. The assignment lacks minor understanding.	Some important facts are missing. The assignment has been partially understood.	Many/all facts are missing and descriptions are not sufficiently given. The assignment has not been correctly understood.
Written ORGANISATION	The project organisation is clear and the text is well written, easy to understand.	The project organisation is clear on the whole, although there are little errors in the text.	The project organisation is sufficiently clear, but several errors make sometimes difficult to understand the text.	The project is unclear and is hard to understand.
Oral PRESENTATION	Students speak fluently and in a clear way.	Students speak clearly with few mistakes.	Students speak sufficiently clearly, although with several mistakes.	Students speak with many mistakes, making the communication hard to understand.
Group work	All group members participated equally.	All the group members participated but some of them with more value.	All the group members participated, although with strong differences in value.	Only a minority of students participated actively.